

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/657,661	Applicant(s) KNUTSON, LOREN G.	
	Examiner Lawrence Shrader	Art Unit 2193	

All Participants:

(1) Lawrence Shrader.

(2) Mr. Kurt Pankratz, Reg # 46,977.
Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4/01/2005
Time: 11:15
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7 and 14


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Received permission to make an examiner's amendment to claim 7 (correcting the lacking antecedant basis by replacing "said command" with "a command") and claim 14 (correcting the lacking antecedant basis by replacing "said command" with "a command"); and to correct the specification at page 7, line 22 (correcting "FIGURE 9" to read "FIGUREs 9A and 9B") to reflect the actual figures in the Drawings section.